Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,408	HATANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRIAN FERTIG	3694
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>BRIAN FERTIG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>RYAN F. HEAVENER</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2009	Time: <u>3:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: rejections based on 35 USC 112, second paragraph		
Claims discussed: independent claims 1 and 20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Mary Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/612,408

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims were nearly in condition for allowance, but that a number of issues realted to the chosen claim language remained. The Examiner shared these issues and realted suggestions with Mr. Heavener who indicated a preference for receiving these issues detailed in an Office Action.